| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 10/616,215 | MEDING, UWE |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Helen Rossoshek | 2825 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after Non-fin.</u> | | |
| (1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek. | (3) | |
| (2) Bradley Ellis (Assist. of Gregory Carr). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 31 July 2006 | Time: <u>N/A</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: N/A | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called to check the status of the Application, since no reply has been received to the office action mailed 01/09/2006. It was stated that the Application was abandoned. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| The second of th | | |
| Webu Roloshels (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Sig | onature — if opproprieta |
| (Applicant | whhineaur a Wehlegelirgring Old | griature – ir appropriatė) – į |